

On a Certain Subset of $L_1(0, 1)$ and Non-Existence of Best Approximation in Some Spaces of Operators

MOSHE FEDER

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto M5S 1A1, Canada

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received January 25, 1979

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF P. TURÁN

We prove that there is a bounded linear operator $T : l_\infty \rightarrow l_\infty$ for which there is no closest compact linear $K : l_\infty \rightarrow l_\infty$. A similar result is proved for an operator from l_1 to $L_1(0, 1)$. This implies, in the cases where $X = L_1(0, 1)$, $X = L_\infty(0, 1)$ or $X = C(0, 1)$, that there is an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ with no best compact approximation (see Appendix). We show that $L_1(0, 1)$ contains a set S , bounded and non-empty, for which $\inf_C \sup_{x \in S} d(x, C)$ over all compact subsets C of $L_1(0, 1)$ is not attained. The set S is used to prove the above results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Several authors have considered the problem of determining the pairs of Banach spaces E, F for which $C(E, F)$ is proximal in $L(E, F)$. (See Section 2 for definitions and notations.) In particular, $C(E, E)$ is known to be proximal in $L(E, E)$ when $E = l_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, or $E = c_0$. (See [1, 3-7, 9, 11]. [1] contains a detailed historical survey.) However, we prove:

THEOREM 1. $C(l_\infty, l_\infty)$ is not a proximal subspace of $L(l_\infty, l_\infty)$.

It seems that the above is the first example of a classical Banach space E for which $C(E, E)$ is not proximal in $L(E, E)$.

For a bounded set S in a Banach space E we consider the infimum

$$a(S) = \inf_C \sup_{x \in S} d(x, C), \quad (1.1)$$

where C ranges over all compact subsets of E . $a(S)$ is called in [9] "the Kuratowski measure of non-compactness" of S . It is easy to see that $a(S)$ is the infimum of the set of all $r > 0$ for which there is a finite r -net of S . We prove:

THEOREM 2. *The space $L_1(0, 1)$ has a bounded subset S such that for every compact subset C of $L_1(0, 1)$ there is $x \in S$ with $d(x, C) > a(S)$. (That is, the infimum (1.1) is not attained.)*

Theorem 2 will be proved in Section 3 and will be used in Section 4 to prove Theorem 1. We will also prove the following.

THEOREM 3. *$C(l_1, L_1(\mu))$ is proximal in $L(l_1, L_1(\mu))$ if and only if μ is purely atomic.*

2. DEFINITIONS, NOTATIONS, AND CONVENTIONS

An "operator" in this paper is always bounded and linear. When E and F are Banach spaces, $L(E, F)$ denotes the Banach space of all operators from E to F , with the sup norm. $C(E, F)$ is the subspace of $L(E, F)$ of the compact operators. When E and F are fixed, the essential norm (introduced in [1]) of $T \in L(E, F)$, $\|T\|_e$, is defined by

$$\|T\|_e = \inf\{\|T - K\|: K \in C(E, F)\} = d(T, C(E, F)).$$

$\|T\|_e$ depends on F —if F is a subspace of G and $J: F \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion, $\|JT\|_e$ may be smaller than $\|T\|_e$ (cf. [1a]). By a "set" here we mean a non-empty set. A set S in a metric space (X, d) is said to be proximal if for every x in X there is y in S so that $d(x, y) = d(x, S)$, where $d(x, S) = \inf\{d(x, z): z \in S\}$. When A and B are sets in a vector space and λ is a scalar, $A + B$ is the set of all sums $x + y$, $x \in A$, $y \in B$ and λA is the set of all λ multiples of elements of A . Finally, for a Banach space E , B_E denotes the closed unit ball of E .

3. A SUBSET OF $L_1(0, 1)$

In this section we prove Theorem 2. Let $I: L_1(0, 1) \rightarrow L_1(0, 1)$ be the identity operator. For positive integers n and i we define the closed interval $\Delta_i^{(n)} = [(i-1)2^{-n}, i2^{-n}]$. For a set A , the characteristic function χ_A is defined by $\chi_A(x) = 1$ when $x \in A$ and $\chi_A(x) = 0$ otherwise. The operators

$$P_n: L_1(0, 1) \rightarrow L_1(0, 1)$$

defined by

$$P_n(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \left(2^n \int_{\Delta_i^{(n)}} f(t) dt \right) \chi_{\Delta_i^{(n)}}$$

are contractive projections and $P_n \rightarrow I$ uniformly on every compact set.

We now define a set $S \subset L_1(0, 1)$ as follows: put $B = B_{L_1(0,1)}$, $C_n = P_n(B)$ and

$$S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[3C_n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) B \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

The Lebesgue measure will be denoted by λ .

LEMMA 1. Let $[a, b] = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_{2n}$ be a decomposition of the closed interval $[a, b]$ into $2n$ subintervals so that $\lambda I_i = (2n)^{-1}(b - a)$ for every i (i.e., all I_i have same length). Let A be a measurable set such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(A \cap I_i) &= \theta(2n)^{-1}(b - a), & i &= 1, 3, \dots, 2n - 1, \\ &= (1 - \theta)(2n)^{-1}(b - a), & i &= 2, 4, \dots, 2n, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\frac{1}{2} < \theta < 1$. Then for every two constants α and k and every step function $g = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \alpha_i \chi_{I_i}$

$$\int_a^b |\alpha \chi_A - k - g| dt \geq \int_a^b |\alpha \chi_A| dt - (2\theta - 1) \int_a^b |g| dt.$$

Proof. It follows that $\lambda(A \cap [a, b]) = (b - a)/2$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b |\alpha \chi_A - k - g| dt \\ &= \frac{b - a}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \{ \theta |\alpha - k - \alpha_{2j-1}| + (1 - \theta) |k + \alpha_{2j-1}| \\ & \quad + (1 - \theta) |\alpha - k - \alpha_{2j}| + \theta |k + \alpha_{2j}| \} \\ & \geq \frac{b - a}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \{ |\alpha| - (2\theta - 1)(|\alpha_{2j-1}| + |\alpha_{2j}|) \} \\ &= \frac{b - a}{2} |\alpha| - (2\theta - 1) \frac{b - a}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |\alpha_i| \\ &= \int_a^b |\alpha \chi_A| dt - (2\theta - 1) \int_a^b |g| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We will show that the set S defined in (3.1) satisfies:

(a) $a(S) = 1$.

(b) For every compact set K in $L_1(0, 1)$ there is an x in S such that $d(x, K) > 1$.

Since $S \subset (3C_n) + (1 + 1/n)B$ for every n , $a(S) \leq 1 + 1/n$ for every n . Hence $a(S) \leq 1$. Since (b) implies $a(S) \geq 1$, it is sufficient to prove (b).

Let K be a compact subset of $L_1(0, 1)$. For some $\beta > 0$, $K \subset \beta B$. The projections P_n converge uniformly to I on compact sets. Thus, for a suitable integer $p > 1$, $\|f - P_p f\| \leq \frac{1}{8}$ for every $f \in K$. Let K_1 be the compact set $K_1 = (I - P_p)K$. Now define $\alpha = \alpha(p) > 2$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon(p) > 0$ by

$$\alpha = 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \tag{3.2}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{4} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{9}{4} \right) \tag{3.3}$$

($\alpha > 2$ yields $\epsilon > 0$ easily). There exists an integer q , $q > p$, so that $(I - P_q)K_1 \subset \epsilon B$. Thus,

$$K \subset \beta C_p + \frac{1}{8} C_q + \epsilon B. \tag{3.4}$$

Let θ be defined by

$$\theta = 1 - 1/\alpha. \tag{3.5}$$

Note that $\frac{1}{2} < \theta < 1$.

Now construct a set A as follows. First define

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \theta && \text{if } 0 \leq i \pmod{2^{q-p}} < 2^{q-p-1}, \\ &= 1 - \theta && \text{if } 2^{q-p-1} \leq i \pmod{2^{q-p}} < 2^{q-p} \end{aligned}$$

($i \pmod n = j$ if $0 \leq j < n$ and n divides $i - j$). Then

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^q} [(i-1)2^{-q}, (i-1)2^{-q} + a_i 2^{-q}]. \tag{3.6}$$

A has the following two properties:

- (i) $\lambda(A \cap \Delta_j^{(p)}) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda(\Delta_j^{(p)}) = 2^{-p-1}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^p$).
- (ii) For every $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^p$ and every $n = p + 1, p + 2, p + 3, \dots, q$, $\lambda(A \cap \Delta_i^{(n)}) = \theta \lambda(\Delta_i^{(n)}) = \theta 2^{-n}$ for exactly 2^{n-p-1} of the 2^{n-p} indices i satisfying $\Delta_i^{(n)} \subset \Delta_j^{(p)}$ and $\lambda(A \cap \Delta_i^{(n)}) = (1 - \theta) 2^{-n}$ for the remaining 2^{n-p-1} .

Now put $f = \alpha \chi_A$. Since $\lambda(A) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\|f\| = |\alpha| \lambda(A) = 1 + 1/p$. Hence $f \in 3C_n + (1 + 1/n)B$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$. $g \in 3C_{p+1}$ defined by $g = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{2^p} \chi_{\Delta_{2i-1}^{(p+1)}}$ satisfies $\|f - g\| = \alpha(1 - \theta) = 1$. Hence $f \in 3C_{p+1} + B$ and clearly $f \in 3C_n + (1 + 1/n)B$ for all $n > p$ (since $C_n \supset C_{p+1}$). From the above, $f \in 3C_n + (1 + 1/n)B$ for all n , i.e., $f \in S$.

It is left to show that $d(f, K) > 1$. By (3.4), it is sufficient to prove $d(f, \beta C_p + \frac{1}{8} C_q) > 1 + \epsilon$. Let $h \in \beta C_p$ and $g \in \frac{1}{8} C_q$. For every

$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^p$ h is constant on $\Delta_i^{(p)}$. Lemma 1 may now be used with $[a, b] = \Delta_i^{(p)}$, $n = 2^{q-p-1}$, $\{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{2n}\}$ a suitable renumbering of the $\Delta_j^{(q)}$'s contained in $\Delta_i^{(p)}$ and k the value of h on $\Delta_i^{(p)}$. We get

$$\int_{\Delta_i^{(p)}} |\alpha\chi_A - h - g| dt \geq \int_{\Delta_i^{(p)}} |\alpha\chi_A| dt - (2\theta - 1) \int_{\Delta_i^{(p)}} |g| dt.$$

Summing over $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^p$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - h - g\| &\geq \|f\| - (2\theta - 1) \|g\| \geq \|f\| - \frac{1}{8}(2\theta - 1) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{8} \left(2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) - 1 \right) = 1 + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 AND 3

Denote by $\{e_i\}$ the natural unit vector basis of l_1 .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E be a Banach space and let $T \in L(l_1, E)$ then*

1. $\|T\| = \text{Sup}_i \|Te_i\|,$
2. $\|T\|_e = a(\{Te_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$

Proof. 1. It is an easy well-known fact. 2. Let $K \in C(l_1, E)$ then $d(Te_i, \{Ke_j\}_{j=1}^\infty) \leq \|T - K\|$ for all i . Thus $a(\{Te_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) \leq \|T\|_e$. Let C be a compact set in E . For every j pick $y_j \in C$ such that $\|Te_j - y_j\| \leq \sup_i d(Te_i, C)$. The compact operator $K \in C(l_1, E)$ defined by $Ke_j = y_j$ satisfies $\|T - K\| \leq \sup_i d(Te_i, C)$. We get that $\|T\|_e \leq \sup_i d(Te_i, C)$ for every compact set C in E . Hence $\|T\|_e \leq a(\{Te_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)$.

PROPOSITION 2. *The following are equivalent for a Banach space E .*

- (a) $C(l_1, E)$ is proximal in $L(l_1, E)$.
- (b) For every bounded countable set S in E the infimum (1.1) is attained.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Suppose (a) holds and let $S = \{x_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be a bounded countable set in E . Define $T \in L(l_1, E)$ by $Te_i = x_i$. Let $K \in C(l_1, E)$ be a closest compact operator to T (i.e., $\|T\|_e = \|T - K\|$) and put $C = \{Ke_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. By Proposition 1, $a(S) = \|T\|_e = \|T - K\| \geq \sup d(x_i, \bar{C})$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Let T be an element of $L(l_1, E)$. Assume (b) holds then there is a compact set C such that $\|T\|_e = a(\{Te_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) = \sup_i d(Te_i, C)$. Now if we define K as in Proposition 1, $\|T - K\| \leq \sup_i d(Te_i, C) = \|T\|_e$.

The following fact is well known and easy to prove.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let E and F be Banach spaces. The map $\alpha: L(E, F^*) \rightarrow L(F, E^*)$ defined by $\alpha(T) = T^*J$, where $J: F \rightarrow F^{**}$ is the natural embedding, is a isometry onto. Furthermore, α maps $C(E, F^*)$ onto $C(F, E^*)$.*

Proof. Let $J_1: E \rightarrow E^{**}$ be the natural embedding. Define $\beta: L(F, E^*) \rightarrow L(E, F^*)$ by $\beta(S) = S^*J_1$. It is easy to see now that $\alpha \circ \beta$ and $\beta \circ \alpha$ are the identities of $L(F, E^*)$ and $L(E, F^*)$, respectively. Since α and β are both contractive, the isometry claim is proved. α is surjective since it has a right side inverse. Finally, if T is compact, $T^*J = \alpha(T)$ is compact and if T^*J is compact, $T = (T^*J)^* J_1$ is also compact.

PROPOSITION 4. *$L_1(0, 1)$ is isometric to the range of a contractive projection in $(l_\infty)^*$.*

Proof. $L_\infty(0, 1)$ is isometric to the range of a contractive projection in l_∞ (see [10]). Hence, there is a contractive projection in $(l_\infty)^*$ whose range is isometric to $(L_\infty(0, 1))^*$. But it is known that there is a contractive projection in $(L_\infty(0, 1))^*$ with range isometric to $L_1(0, 1)$ (see [2, p. 163]).

PROPOSITION 5. *Let E and F be Banach spaces and let G be the range of a contractive projection in F . Then if $C(E, F)$ is proximinal in $L(E, F)$, $C(E, G)$ is proximinal in $L(E, G)$.*

Proof. Trivial.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 2 there is a set S in $L_1(0, 1)$ for which the infimum (1.1) is not attained. Let S_0 be a countable dense subset of S . Clearly $a(S_0) \leq a(S) = 1$. For every compact set C in $L_1(0, 1)$ there is $x \in S$ with $d(x, C) > 1$. Since S_0 is dense in S , there exists $y \in S_0$ with $d(y, C) > 1$. Hence $a(S_0) = 1$ and the infimum (1.1) is not attained for S_0 as well. By Proposition 2, $C(l_1, L_1(0, 1))$ is not proximinal in $L(l_1, L_1(0, 1))$. By Propositions 4 and 5, this fact implies that $C(l_1, (l_\infty)^*)$ is not proximinal in $L(l_1, (l_\infty)^*)$. By Proposition 3 it is the same thing as Theorem 1 claims.

PROPOSITION 6. *For every set Γ , $C(l_1, l_1(\Gamma))$ is proximinal in $L(l_1, l_1(\Gamma))$.*

Proof. Let $T \in L(l_1, l_1(\Gamma))$. The range of T is contained in a subspace G of $l_1(\Gamma)$ isometric to l_1 (unless Γ is finite) such that there is a contractive projection of $l_1(\Gamma)$ onto G . But $C(l_1, l_1)$ is proximinal in $L(l_1, l_1)$ (e.g., [9]) and this yields the result easily.

Proof of Theorem 3. The “if” part is Proposition 6 since then $L_1(\mu) = l_1(\Gamma)$ for some Γ . For the “only if” part: by the proof of Theorem 1,

$C(I_1, L_1(0, 1))$ is not proximal in $L(I_1, L_1(0, 1))$. By Proposition 5, $C(I_1, L_1(\mu))$ is not proximal in $L(I_1, L_1(\mu))$ whenever $L_1(0, 1)$ is isometric to the range of a contractive projection in $L_1(\mu)$. But when μ is non-purely atomic, this is the case (see [8, Sect. 14]).

APPENDIX

Y. Benyamini has obtained an interesting consequence of the results of this paper. We reproduce it here. First we need

LEMMA. *Let X be a separable subspace of l_∞ . Then there is a subspace E of l_∞ , $X \subset E \subset l_\infty$ so that E is isometric to a range of a contractive projection in $C(0, 1)$.*

Proof. We assume the scalar field is complex; for the real case an obvious modification yields the same. Denote by E the (separable commutative) algebra with involution generated in l_∞ by X and the unit $(1, 1, \dots)$. By the Gelfand–Naimark theorem (see [12]), E is isometric to some $C(S)$ with S a compact metric space (E is separable—thus S is metric). By Milutin’s lemma (see [12]), $C(S)$ is isometric to the range of a contractive projection in $C(0, 1)$.

THEOREM (Benyamini). *$C(C(0, 1), C(0, 1))$ is not proximal in $L(C(0, 1), C(0, 1))$.*

Proof. $L_1(0, 1)$ is isometric to the range of a contractive projection in $C(0, 1)^*$ (see [8]). Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 1, $C(I_1, C(0, 1)^*)$ is not proximal in $L(I_1, C(0, 1)^*)$. By Proposition 3, $C(C(0, 1), l_\infty)$ is not proximal in $L(C(0, 1), l_\infty)$.

Let $T: C(0, 1) \rightarrow l_\infty$ be an operator which has no closest compact operator. There is a sequence $K_n: C(0, 1) \rightarrow l_\infty$ of compact operators such that $\|T - K_n\| \rightarrow \|T\|_e$. Denote by X the (separable!) subspace of l_∞ generated by the images of T and all the K_n ’s. Define E as in the lemma. Since

$$d(T, C(C(0, 1), l_\infty)) = d(T, C(C(0, 1), E))$$

there is no closest compact operator to T in $C(C(0, 1), E)$. By Proposition 5, $C(C(0, 1), C(0, 1))$ is not proximal in $L(C(0, 1), C(0, 1))$.

Remark. This is the first known example of a separable classical Banach space E for which $C(E, E)$ is not proximal in $L(E, E)$.

A. Lima has kindly pointed out to us that since L_1 is isometric to the range of a contractive projection in $L_1(0, 1)$, then Theorem 3 implies that $C(L_1(0, 1), L_1(0, 1))$ is not proximal in $L(L_1(0, 1), L_1(0, 1))$.

Finally, let us note that since I_∞ is isometric to the range of a contractive projection on $L_\infty(0, 1)$, it follows from Theorem 1 that there is an operator $T: L_\infty(0, 1) \rightarrow L_\infty(0, 1)$ with no best compact approximation $K: L_\infty(0, 1) \rightarrow L_\infty(0, 1)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank Professor L. E. Dor for a helpful conversation.

REFERENCES

1. S. AXLER, I. D. BERG, N. JEWELL, AND A. SHIELDS, Approximation by compact operators and the space $H^\infty + C$, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **109** (1979), 601–612. (a) S. AXLER, N. JEWELL, AND A. SHIELDS, The essential norm of an operator and its adjoint, preprint.
2. N. DUNEORD AND J. SCHWARTZ, "Linear Operators," Part I, Interscience, New York, 1957.
3. I. C. GOHBERG AND M. G. KREIN, Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators, Nauka, Moscow, 1965 (English translation: Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969).
4. J. HENNEFELD, A decomposition for $B(X)^*$ and unique Hahn–Banach extensions, *Pacific J. Math.* **46** (1973), 197–199.
5. R. B. HOLMES AND B. R. KRIPKE, Best approximation by compact operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **21** (1971), 255–263.
6. R. HOLMES, B. SCRANTON, AND J. WARD, Approximation from the space of compact operators and other M-ideals, *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 259–269.
7. KA-SING LAU, On a sufficient condition for proximity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
8. H. E. LACEY, The Isometric Theory of Classical Banach Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
9. J. MACH AND J. D. WARD, Approximation by compact operators on certain Banach spaces, *J. Approximation Theory* **23** (1978), 274–286.
10. A. PEŁCZYŃSKI, On the isomorphism of the spaces m and M , *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **6** (1958), 695–696.
11. J. MACH, On the proximality of compact operators with range in $C(S)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 99–104.
12. Z. SEMADENI, "Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions," PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1971.